



WWW.NMCLIMATECHANGE.US

RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL, AND INDUSTRIAL (RCI) SECTOR GHG REDUCTION POLICY OPTIONS

PREPARED FOR TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP (TWG) CALL #4, NOVEMBER 17, 2005, 1:30 TO 3:00 PM

Potential Emission Reductions *	Potential Cost or Cost Savings *
<p>High (H): At least 1 Million Metric Tons (MMT) carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) per year by 2020 (~1% of current NM emissions)</p> <p>Medium (M): From 0.1 to 1 MMT CO₂e per year by 2020</p> <p>Low (L): Less than 0.1 MMT CO₂e per year by 2020</p> <p>Uncertain (U): Not able to estimate at this time</p>	<p>High (H): \$50 per Metric Ton CO₂e (MTCO₂e) or above</p> <p>Medium (M): \$5-50/MTCO₂e</p> <p>Low (L): Less than \$5/MTCO₂e</p> <p>Cost Savings: Options that save money, i.e., that have “negative costs.”</p> <p>Uncertain (U): Not able to estimate at this time</p>
<p>* “Potential” here connotes rough initial estimate based in part on experience in other states. Also, several measures may overlap in terms of emissions reductions and/or cost impacts. Estimates assume measures would be implemented independently from other measures.</p>	

Definition of Priorities for Analysis:

- **High:** High priority options will be analyzed first.
- **Medium:** Medium priority options will be analyzed next, time and resources permitting.
- **Low:** Low priority options will be analyzed last, time and resources permitting.

** Options marked with a double asterisk (**) indicate options that are at least partially “base case” policies, i.e., that have been or will be implemented at some level in New Mexico. Please see <http://www.nmclimatechange.us/ewebeditpro/items/O117F6957.pdf> for an initial, non-comprehensive sampling of such policies as they relate to the policy option categories listed below.

NOTE: Text highlighted in yellow indicates TWG decisions and comments made during RCI TWG Call #3, October 19, 2005. Options noted as **Moved or Combined** are shown, for continuity, in their positions as they were in the Policy Matrix prepared for TWG Call #3, as well as in their new positions. Moved or combined items will be shown only in their new positions in the next version of this Matrix.

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
1.	Energy Efficiency Programs, Funds, and Goals					
1.1 (old 1.1 – 1.3)	Utility Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, Energy Efficiency Funds (e.g. Public Benefit Funds) administered by State agency, utility, or 3rd party (e.g. Energy Trust), and/or Energy Efficiency Requirements (e.g. Utility Savings Goals or Energy Portfolio Standards) for electricity**	High	High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Co-benefits include transmission/distribution system costs reduction. Significant potential overlap with many other options. 	Might need a separate category for non-utility energy providers (e.g. propane, municipal/co-op utilities)
1.2 (old 1.1 – 1.3)	Utility Demand Side Management Programs, Energy Efficiency Funds, and/or Energy Efficiency Requirements, for natural gas, propane, fuel oil**	High	High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Co-benefits include local air quality impacts. Significant potential overlap with many other options. 	See above
1.3 (old 1.4)	Market transformation and technology development programs	TBD	High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost		Re-evaluate after considering more specific detail ... what markets/what tactics – overlap with LEED and ENERGYSTAR?
2.	Appliance Standards					
2.1	State adoption Appliance Standard that are more stringent and inclusive than Federal level standard, based on standards developed/proposal in other states in the region and beyond Plus support of Federal-level appliance standards	High	Low/High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feasibility enhanced by adopting regional standards 	Changed from state-level to “state adoption of standards adopted in other similar states”
(Old 2.2)	Support for Federal-level Appliance Efficiency Standards	Combined with 2.1	Low/High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential overlap with previous option 	To be subsumed in option 2.1

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
3.	Buildings					
3.1	Improved Building Codes**	High	Medium/High	Cost Savings/Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential to also yield water savings, comfort/air quality improvements. 	Seen as strong option for reducing energy use. Currently NM has adopted 2003 International Energy Conservation Code
3.2 NEW	Building Energy Performance Requirements for State-funded and Other Government Buildings plus Promotion and Incentives for Similar Energy Performance Enhancements in Non-Government Buildings	High			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	State building requirements – (1) all State funded new buildings and building renovation projects of 5,000 square feet and above are mandated to be 50% below the U.S. average for that building type and (2) 15,000 square feet and above additionally mandated to build to a minimum rating of "Silver" using the U.S. Green Building Council's LEED-NC™, LEED-EB™, LEED-CS™, or LEED-CI™ rating system - or verifiable equivalent and (3) for private buildings include Promotion and Incentives for Improved Design and Construction (e.g. LEED—"Leadership in Energy-Efficient Design", daylighting, green buildings)
(Old 3.2)	Promotion and Incentives for Improved Design and Construction (e.g. LEED—"Leadership in Energy-Efficient Design", daylighting, green buildings)**	Moved	Medium/High	Cost Savings/Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential overlap with previous option [co-benefits as above] May wish to emphasize attainment of a specific level of LEED standards., emphasize the energy-related aspects of LEED 	Included in NEW category above

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
3.	Buildings (continued)					
(Old 3.3)	Contractor and Builder Education (e.g.: Proper sizing of HVAC, duct sealing)**	Moved	Medium/ High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential to also yield water savings, comfort/air quality improvements. • Potential overlap with previous options. 	Moved to section 4 below
(Old 3.4)	Training and Enforcement of Building Codes**	Moved	Medium	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	[As above]	Moved to section 4 below
(Old 3.5)	Building Commissioning and Recommissioning, including Energy Tracking and Benchmarking**	Moved	Medium	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	[As above]	Included in NEW category above
(Old 3.6)	Energy Management Training/ Training of Building Operators**	Moved	Medium	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	[As above]	Moved to section 4 below
(Old 3.7)	Increased use of Blended Cement (substituting fly ash or other pozzolans for clinker reduces CO ₂ emissions)	Likely included above	Low/ Medium	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May provide modest avoided waste disposal co-benefit, depending on standard practice 	Potentially included in NEW option above
(Old 3.8)	Reduction of Emissions from Diesel Engines Used in New Construction Developments, and Reduction of Construction Energy Use	TBD	Low	Low Cost?		Not discussed in call
3.3 (old 3.9)	Tools and Options for Use by Design and Engineering Professionals to Select and Promote Low Embodied-emissions Materials	Moved	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extension of existing widely-used tools such as "MASTERSPEC"/ "SPECWARE" • Location of emissions benefits (in-state or out-of-state) may vary 	Moved to section 4 below

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
4.	Education and Outreach					
4.1	Consumer Education programs	High	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential contribution difficult to estimate 	Could be delivered through utilities/NGOs/others
4.2	Introduce in Primary/Secondary School Curriculum	Not High	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	[As above]	This option is largely already happening and/or will follow from implementation of other options
4.3	Increased Emphasis on Energy and Environmental Consideration in Higher Education	High	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	[As above]	Training of future energy professionals, architects and allied trades is required in order to implement building energy and other high priority options "and Training for Professionals" removed from description since covered by options below
NEW 4.4 (old 3.3, 3.4 3.6 and 3.9)	Education and Outreach for Building Professionals	High	Medium/ High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential to also yield water savings, comfort/air quality improvements. Potential overlap with previous options. Extension of existing widely-used tools such as "MASTERSPEC"/"SPECWARE" Location of emissions benefits (in-state or out-of-state) may vary 	Subsumes options previously in section 3 – 3.3 Contractor and Builder Education (e.g.: Proper sizing of HVAC, duct sealing)**, 3.4 Training and Enforcement of Building Codes**, 3.6 Energy Management Training/ Training of Building Operators** and 3.9 Tools and Options for Use by Design and Engineering Professionals to Select and Promote Low Embodied- emissions Materials

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
5.	Pricing and Purchasing					
5.1	Green Power Purchasing**	TBD	Uncertain	Medium/High Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interaction with RPS option. 	Discussion not completed during call
5.2	Bulk Purchasing Programs for Energy Efficiency or other Equipment (Public or Private sector)	TBD	Low/Medium	Cost Savings/Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> May interact with utility programs. 	Discussion not completed during call
5.3	Net-metering policies** - focus on impact on GHG reductions	Medium	Low/Medium	Cost Savings/Low Cost		Overlap with other options, some aspects are in place, so far has had low impact on system capacity throughout the Western states Could be moved to new category, see below
5.4	Rate Design (Including Time of Use Rates, Increasing Block Rates, and Seasonal Use Rates)	High	Low	Cost Savings/Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant utility system co-benefits 	Could be moved to/combined with new category, see below

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
6. NEW	Regulatory Policies for Rate Design and Distributed Generation					
6.1 (NEW)	Regulatory Policies for Rate Design and Distributed Generation				•	Can potentially include 5.3, 5.4 and 6.2 (though CHP may need incentives beyond regulatory impacts on rates)
					•	

PRIORITIES FOR ANALYSIS OF OPTIONS BELOW HAVE NOT YET BEEN ASSIGNED BY THE TWG

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
7. (old 6.)	Technology Specific Policies					
7.1 (old 6.1)	Incentives for Renewable Energy Applications (Solar roofs, water heaters, etc.)**	TBD	High	Medium/High Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Programs could help to lower capital and installation costs 	
7.2 (old 6.2)	Clean Combined Heat and Power (CHP) (could also include distributed generation without heat use, combined heat, cooling, and power generation, and generation from existing waste heat sources).	TBD	High	Cost Savings – Medium Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cost dependent on price of natural gas Interconnection an issue Utility system benefits [Note that generation from waste heat may require different policies than CHP] 	
7.3 (old 6.3)	Promotion and Tax or Other Incentives (e.g. ENERGYSTAR, credits for solar hot water)**	TBD	Medium/High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interaction with appliance standards, utility programs. 	
7.4 (old 6.4)	Appliance Recycling/Pick-Up Programs	TBD	Low	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Long-term impact uncertain 	
7.5 (old 6.5)	Energy-efficient Design and Landscaping (including white roofs, rooftop gardens, shade tree programs)	TBD	Medium/High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results likely to vary substantially with design 	
7.6 (old 6.6)	Focus on Specific End-uses/technologies: window AC units, evaporative versus direct (or “DX”) cooling, lighting, water heating, plug loads, networked PC management, power supplies, motors, pumps, boilers, etc. Consumer Products Programs: may include incentives, retailer training, marketing and promotion, education, etc.**	TBD	(Individually Low to High)	Cost Savings/ Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interaction with appliance standards, utility programs. 	

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
8. (old 7.)	Non-Energy Emissions (HFCs, PFCs, SF₆, CO₂ process Emissions)					
8.1 (old 7.1)	Participation in Voluntary Industry-Government Partnerships**	TBD	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost		
8.2 (old 7.2)	Process Changes/ Optimization	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact, cost likely highly process-specific. 	
8.3 (old 7.3)	Leak Reduction /Capture, Recovery and Recycling of Process Gases	TBD	Medium	Uncertain		
8.4 (old 7.4)	Use of Alternative Gases (other HFCs, hydrocarbon coolants, etc.)	TBD	Medium/ High	Low/ Medium Cost		
8.5 (old 7.5)	Cement Industry: Use of Alternative Fuels	TBD	Uncertain	Low/ Medium Cost		

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
9. (old 8.)	GHG Emissions-Specific Goals and Policies					
9.1 (old 8.1)	Support for Switching to Less Carbon-Intensive Fuels (coal and oil to natural gas or biomass, natural gas to biomass, for RCI sectors—stoves and boilers)	TBD	Medium/High	Cost Savings - Medium Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost dependent on relative fuel prices • Potential local and state economic co-benefits from using local biomass fuel supplies • Biomass fuel supply/use may interact with land use, forestry, local air quality issues. 	
9.2 (old 8.2)	Industry-Specific Emissions Cap and Trade Programs	TBD	Medium/High	Low/Medium Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highly dependent on specification of trading systems 	
9.3 (old 8.3)	Voluntary emissions targets	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain		
9.4 (old 8.4)	Negotiated Emissions or Energy Savings Agreements	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain		

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
10. (old 9.)	Other					
10.1 (old 9.1)	Government Agency Requirements and Goals (including procurement)**	TBD	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential overlap with other options 	
10.2 (old 9.2)	Focus on Specific Market Segments: Existing Homes (weatherization), New Construction, Apartments, Low Income, etc.**	TBD	Medium/ High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential overlap with other options 	
10.3 (old 9.3)	Reinvestment Fund	TBD	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential overlap with other options 	
10.4 (old 9.4)	Municipal Energy Management	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential overlap with other options 	
10.5 (old 9.5)	Focus on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)**	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential overlap with other options 	
10.6 (old 9.6)	Industrial Ecology/ By-product synergy	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain		
10.7 (old 9.7)	Facilitate Activities of ESCOs in Public Sector Energy Efficiency Projects**	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential overlap with other options 	

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
11. (old 10.)	Solid Waste and Wastewater Management					
11.1 (old 10.1)	Solid Waste Source Reduction	TBD	Medium/High	Uncertain		
11.2 (old 10.2)	Solid Waste Recycling	TBD	Medium/High	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Materials recovery, reduction of energy requirements for raw materials production 	
11.3 (old 10.3)	Separation and Composting of Organic Materials in Solid Wastes	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Co-production of soil amendments 	
11.4 (old 10.4)	Capture/Use in Buildings or Industry of Methane from Landfills	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fossil fuel displacement a co-benefit Gas processing may be required for some end-uses 	
11.5 (old 10.5)	Capture for Use in Buildings or Industry of Methane from Wastewater Treatment	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fossil fuel displacement a co-benefit Gas processing required for some end-uses 	
11.6 (old 10.6)	Capture for Use in Electricity Generation of Methane from Landfills or Wastewater Treatment	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fossil fuel displacement a co-benefit 	
11.7 (old 10.7)	Capture and Flaring of Unused Gas from Small Landfills or Wastewater Treatment	TBD	Uncertain	Low Cost		
11.8 (old 10.8)	Combustion of Solid Wastes to Generate Electricity (Waste-to-Energy)	TBD	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fossil fuel displacement a co-benefit 	