



[WWW.NMCLIMATECHANGE.US](http://WWW.NMCLIMATECHANGE.US)

## RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL, AND INDUSTRIAL (RCI) SECTOR GHG REDUCTION POLICY OPTIONS

PREPARED FOR TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP (TWG) CALL #6, JANUARY 10, 2006

Potential Emission Reductions *	Potential Cost or Cost Savings *
<p><b>High (H):</b> At least 1 Million Metric Tons (MMT) carbon dioxide equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>e) per year by 2020 (~1% of current NM emissions)</p> <p><b>Medium (M):</b> From 0.1 to 1 MMT CO<sub>2</sub>e per year by 2020</p> <p><b>Low (L):</b> Less than 0.1 MMT CO<sub>2</sub>e per year by 2020</p> <p><b>Uncertain (U):</b> Not able to estimate at this time</p>	<p><b>High (H):</b> \$50 per Metric Ton CO<sub>2</sub>e (MTCO<sub>2</sub>e) or above</p> <p><b>Medium (M):</b> \$5-50/MTCO<sub>2</sub>e</p> <p><b>Low (L):</b> Less than \$5/MTCO<sub>2</sub>e</p> <p><b>Cost Savings:</b> Options that save money, i.e., that have “negative costs.”</p> <p><b>Uncertain (U):</b> Not able to estimate at this time</p>
<p>* “Potential” here connotes rough initial estimate based in part on experience in other states. Also, several measures may overlap in terms of emissions reductions and/or cost impacts. Estimates assume measures would be implemented independently from other measures.</p>	

### Definition of Priorities for Analysis:

- **High:** High priority options will be analyzed first.
- **Medium:** Medium priority options will be analyzed next, time and resources permitting.
- **Low:** Low priority options will be analyzed last, time and resources permitting.

\*\* Options marked with a double asterisk (\*\*) indicate options that are at least partially “base case” policies, i.e., that have been or will be implemented at some level in New Mexico. Please see <http://www.nmclimatechange.us/ewebeditpro/items/O117F6957.pdf> for an initial, non-comprehensive sampling of such policies as they relate to the policy option categories listed below.

**NOTE:** Text highlighted in **yellow** indicates TWG decisions and comments made during RCI TWG Call #5, December 14, 2005. Options noted as **Moved or Combined** are shown, for continuity, in their positions as they were in the Policy Matrix prepared for previous TWG meetings, as well as in their new positions. Moved or combined items will be shown only in their new positions in the next version of this Matrix.

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>1.</b>	<b>Energy Efficiency Programs, Funds, and Goals</b>					
1.1	Utility Demand Side Management (DSM) Programs, Energy Efficiency Funds (e.g. Public Benefit Funds) administered by State agency, utility, or 3rd party (e.g. Energy Trust), and/or Energy Efficiency Requirements (e.g. Utility Savings Goals or Energy Portfolio Standards) for electricity**	High	High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Co-benefits include transmission/distribution system costs reduction.</li> <li>Significant potential overlap with many other options.</li> </ul>	Might need a separate category for non-utility energy providers (e.g. propane, municipal/co-op utilities) Specific End-uses/technologies that could be included: window AC units, evaporative versus direct (or "DX") cooling, lighting, water heating, plug loads, networked PC management, power supplies, motors, pumps, boilers, etc. Consumer Products Programs: may include incentives, retailer training, marketing and promotion, education, and others.
1.2	Utility Demand Side Management Programs, Energy Efficiency Funds, and/or Energy Efficiency Requirements, for natural gas, propane, fuel oil**	High	High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Co-benefits include local air quality impacts.</li> <li>Significant potential overlap with many other options.</li> </ul>	See above
1.3	Regional market transformation and technology development alliance	High	High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost		Consider as an option to explore with other states in the region.
<b>2.</b>	<b>Appliance Standards</b>					
2.1	State Appliance Standards (more stringent and inclusive than Federal standards), based on standards developed/proposed in other states, and Support for further Federal-level standards	High	Low/High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feasibility enhanced by adopting regional standards</li> </ul>	Changed from state-level to "state adoption of standards adopted in other similar states"

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>3.</b>	<b>Buildings</b>					
3.1	Improved Building Codes**	High	Medium/ High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potential to also yield water savings, comfort/air quality improvements.</li> </ul>	Seen as strong option for reducing energy use. Currently NM has adopted 2003 International Energy Conservation Code
3.2	<p>Building Energy Performance Requirements for State-funded and Other Government Buildings plus Promotion and Incentives for Similar Energy Performance Enhancements in Non-Government Buildings</p> <p>Includes: Building Commissioning and Recommissioning, including Energy Tracking and Benchmarking</p> <p>May include: Increased use of Blended Cement (substituting fly ash or other pozzolans for clinker reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions)</p>	High	Medium/ High		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	State building requirements – (1) all State funded new buildings and building renovation projects of 5,000 square feet and above are mandated to be 50% below the U.S. average for that building type and (2) 15,000 square feet and above additionally mandated to build to a minimum rating of "Silver" using the U.S. Green Building Council's LEED-NC™, LEED-EB™, LEED-CS™, or LEED-CI™ rating system - or verifiable equivalent and (3) for private buildings include Promotion and Incentives for Improved Design and Construction (e.g. LEED—“Leadership in Energy-Efficient Design”, daylighting, green buildings)
3.3	Reduction of Emissions from Diesel Engines Used in New Construction Developments, and Reduction of Construction Energy Use	Low/ Medium	Low	Low Cost?		The combination of likely low emissions reduction and implementation barriers makes this option a low to medium priority

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>4.</b>	<b>Education and Outreach</b>					
4.1	Consumer Education programs	High	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potential contribution difficult to estimate</li> </ul>	Could be delivered through utilities/NGOs/others
4.2	Introduce in Primary/Secondary School Curriculum	Not High	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	[As above]	This option is largely already happening and/or will follow from implementation of other options
4.3	Increased Emphasis on Energy and Environmental Consideration in Higher Education	High	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	[As above]	Training of future energy professionals, architects and allied trades is required in order to implement building energy and other high priority options “and Training for Professionals” removed from description since covered by options below
4.4	Education and Outreach for Building Professionals	High	Medium/ High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potential to also yield water savings, comfort/air quality improvements.</li> <li>• Potential overlap with previous options.</li> <li>• Extension of existing widely-used tools such as “MASTERSPEC”/ “SPECWARE”</li> <li>• Location of emissions benefits (in-state or out-of-state) may vary</li> </ul>	Subsumes options previously in section 3 – 3.3 Contractor and Builder Education (e.g.: Proper sizing of HVAC, duct sealing)**, 3.4 Training and Enforcement of Building Codes**, 3.6 Energy Management Training/ Training of Building Operators** and 3.9 Tools and Options for Use by Design and Engineering Professionals to Select and Promote Low Embodied- emissions Materials

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>5.</b>	<b>Pricing and Purchasing</b>					
5.1	Green Power Purchasing**	High	Uncertain	Medium/ High Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interaction with RPS option.</li> </ul>	Susie Marbury to report back on existing Green Power programs
5.2	State or Locally Administered Bulk Purchasing Programs for Energy Efficiency or other Equipment (Public or Private sector)	Low	Low/ Medium	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May interact with utility programs.</li> </ul>	Consider as element of other options (e.g. 1.1 and 1.2)
5.3	Net-metering policies** - focus on impact on GHG reductions	MOVED to 6.2	Low/ Medium	Cost Savings/ Low Cost		Overlap with other options, some aspects are in place, so far has had low impact on system capacity throughout the Western states Could be moved to new category, see below
5.4	Rate Design (Including Time of Use Rates, Increasing Block Rates, and Seasonal Use Rates)	High	Low	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant utility system co-benefits</li> </ul>	Focus here is on rate design to enhance conservation, load management
<b>(OLD 6)</b>	<b>Regulatory Policies for Rate Design and Distributed Generation</b>					
Old 6.1	Regulatory Policies for Rate Design and Distributed Generation TWG moved elements supporting Distributed Generation to NEW 7.2 below; retained demand-management elements in Option 5.4 above	MOVED to 6.2 (and 5.4)				

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>6.</b>	<b>Technology Specific Policies</b>					
6.1	(Tax or Other) Incentives and Promotion for Renewable Energy (Solar roofs, water heaters, etc.) Clean Combined Heat and Power (CHP) (could also include distributed generation without heat use, combined heat, cooling, and power generation, and generation from existing waste heat sources). **	High	High	Cost Savings– High Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Programs could help to lower capital and installation costs</li> <li>• Cost dependent on price of natural gas</li> <li>• Interconnection an issue</li> <li>• Utility system benefits</li> <li>• [Note that generation from waste heat may require different policies than CHP]</li> </ul>	
(OLD 7.2)	Clean Combined Heat and Power (CHP)	<b>COMBINED WITH 6.1</b>	High	Cost Savings – Medium Cost		
6.2	Regulatory/Legislative Grid, Pricing, and other Policies to Support Distributed Generation (Including net metering and interconnection rules)	High	N/A		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>	This is viewed as a “supporting” or enabling policy to support Option 7.1 above
6.3	Promotion and Tax or Other Incentives (e.g. ENERGYSTAR, credits for solar hot water)**	<b>COMBINED WITH 6.1</b>	Medium/ High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interaction with appliance standards, utility programs.</li> </ul>	
6.4	Appliance Recycling/Pick-Up Programs	<b>MOVED</b>	Low	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Long-term impact uncertain</li> </ul>	To be covered as appropriate in Options 1.1 and 1.2
6.5	Energy-efficient Design and Landscaping (including white roofs, rooftop gardens, shade tree programs)	<b>MOVED</b>	Medium/ High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Results likely to vary substantially with design</li> </ul>	To be covered as appropriate in building design options (Section 3)

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>6.</b>	<b>Technology Specific Policies (Continued)</b>					
6.6	Focus on Specific End-uses/technologies: window AC units, evaporative versus direct (or "DX") cooling, lighting, water heating, plug loads, networked PC management, power supplies, motors, pumps, boilers, etc. Consumer Products Programs: may include incentives, retailer training, marketing and promotion, education, etc.**	MOVED	(Individually Low to High)	Cost Savings/ Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interaction with appliance standards, utility programs.</li> </ul>	To be covered as appropriate in Options 1.1 and 1.2
<b>7.</b>	<b>Non-Energy Emissions (HFCs, PFCs, SF<sub>6</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> process Emissions)</b>					
7.1	Participation in Voluntary Industry-Government Partnerships**	Low	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost		
7.2	Process Changes/ Optimization	Low	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impact, cost likely highly process-specific.</li> </ul>	
7.3	Leak Reduction /Capture, Recovery and Recycling of Process Gases	Low	Medium	Uncertain		
7.4	Use of Alternative Gases (other HFCs, hydrocarbon coolants, etc.)	High	Medium/ High	Low/ Medium Cost		Consider the effects of increasing use of refrigerant vs. evaporative cooling.
7.5	Cement Industry: Use of Alternative Fuels	MOVED TO 9.8	Uncertain	Low/ Medium Cost		

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>8.</b>	<b>GHG Emissions-Specific Goals and Policies</b>					
8.1	Support for Switching to Less Carbon-Intensive Fuels (coal and oil to natural gas or biomass, natural gas to biomass, for RCI sectors—stoves and boilers)	High	Medium/High	Cost Savings - Medium Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost dependent on relative fuel prices</li> <li>• Potential local and state economic co-benefits from using local biomass fuel supplies</li> <li>• Biomass fuel supply/use may interact with land use, forestry, local air quality issues.</li> </ul>	TWG members noted that biomass use should be renewable (and noted cross-cutting issues related to properly accounting for carbon pool effects of biomass use)
8.2	Participation in Regional (or National) Industry Emissions Cap and Trade Programs	High	Medium/High	Low/ Medium Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highly dependent on specification of trading systems</li> </ul>	Important to consider equity among industries in design and implementation.
8.3	Voluntary emissions targets	High	Uncertain	Uncertain		A TWG member suggested that analysis could consider impacts of existing voluntary programs
8.4	Negotiated Emissions or Energy Savings Agreements	Low	Uncertain	Uncertain		

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>9.</b>	<b>Other</b>					
9.1	Government Agency Requirements and Goals (including procurement)** -- Focus on operations, including municipal energy management.	High	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential overlap with other options</li> </ul>	Focus on operations in contrast with option 3.2 above. Particular consideration on issues of follow-through with commitments. Consider interaction with state's Chicago Climate Exchange commitment. Albuquerque is presently considering its own goals
9.2	Focus on Specific Market Segments: Existing Homes (weatherization), New Construction, Apartments, Low Income, etc.**	Low	Medium/ High	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential overlap with other options</li> </ul>	
9.3	Reinvestment Fund	Low	Uncertain	Cost Savings/ Low Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential overlap with other options</li> </ul>	
9.4	Municipal Energy Management	COMBINED WITH 9.1	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential overlap with other options</li> </ul>	
9.5	Focus on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)**	Low	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential overlap with other options</li> </ul>	
9.6	Industrial Ecology/ By-product synergy	Low	Uncertain	Uncertain		
9.7	Facilitate Activities of ESCOs in Public Sector Energy Efficiency Projects**	Low	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential overlap with other options</li> </ul>	
9.8	Cement Industry: Use of Alternative Fuels	Low	Uncertain	Low/ Medium Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>	City of Albuquerque TWG reps to solicit information from Brian McGill at Rio Grande Portland Cement in advance of next TWG meeting.

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reductions	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
<b>10.</b>	<b>Solid Waste and Wastewater Management</b>					
10.1	Solid Waste Recycling, Source Reduction, and Composting	High	Medium/High	Uncertain		Note that NMED Solid Waste bureau is currently reworking regulatory requirement
10.2	Solid Waste Recycling	COMBINED WITH 10.1	Medium/High	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Materials recovery, reduction of energy requirements for raw materials production</li> </ul>	
10.3	Separation and Composting of Organic Materials in Solid Wastes	COMBINED WITH 10.1	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Co-production of soil amendments</li> </ul>	
10.4	Capture/Use in Buildings or Industry of Methane from Landfills	Medium (priorities are in Energy Supply TWG for electricity generation)	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fossil fuel displacement a co-benefit</li> <li>Gas processing may be required for some end-uses</li> </ul>	
10.5	Capture for Use in Buildings or Industry of Methane from Wastewater Treatment	Per previous	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fossil fuel use reduction a co-benefit</li> <li>Gas processing required for some end-uses</li> </ul>	
10.6	Capture for Use in Electricity Generation of Methane from Landfills or Wastewater Treatment	Per previous	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fossil fuel displacement a co-benefit</li> </ul>	
10.7	Capture and Flaring of Unused Gas from Small Landfills or Wastewater Treatment	Per previous	Uncertain	Low Cost		Note that current regulations may already require some landfill gas flaring
10.8	Combustion of Solid Wastes to Generate Electricity (Waste-to-Energy)	Per previous	Uncertain	Uncertain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fossil fuel displacement a co-benefit</li> </ul>	