



[WWW.NMCLIMATECHANGE.US](http://WWW.NMCLIMATECHANGE.US)

**CROSS CUTTING ISSUES TWG CALL #5 SUMMARY  
APRIL 6, 2006 – 1:30-3:00 PM**

**Attendance:**

1. **TWG members:**  
Nancy Norem for Jeff Burks, PNM  
Reid Smith, BP  
Eva Thaddeus, Sierra Club
2. **NMED/EMNRD staff:**  
Sandra Ely  
Susie Marbury  
Lany Weaver
3. **CCS staff:**  
Ken Colburn (TWG Facilitator)
4. **Other attendees:**  
Jim Behnken

**Background documents:**

(unless noted, all posted at [www.nmclimatechange.us/documents.cfm](http://www.nmclimatechange.us/documents.cfm), click on Cross-Cutting).

1. Notice and Agenda for Call #6
2. Summary for Call #5 (*emailed separately to TWG members*)
3. PowerPoint presentation for Call #6
4. GHG Registry Design Options Matrix
5. Education Options Matrix

**Discussion items and key issues:**

1. Ken Colburn opened the teleconference, conducted the roll call, and briefly reviewed the agenda for the call. The TWG welcomed the participation and assistance of RCI TWG members interested in public education and outreach policies and measures.

2. No changes were made to the Call #4 Summary (reviewed on this call because it was emailed to TWG members the day of Call #5 and not all TWG members had had a chance to review it). The TWG also agreed to the Call #5 Summary and modifications to the *GHG Registry Design Options Matrix* without changes.
3. Ken Colburn and Sandra Ely briefed the TWG regarding developments concerning GHG registries. Just the day before this call, the Western Regional Air Partnership had met in Salt Lake City and had presentations from NESCAUM about the Eastern Climate Registry (formerly RGGR), World Resources Institute, the California Climate Action Registry, and LADCO (Midwest states) on their respective GHG registry efforts and progress. WRAP members agreed to form an ad-hoc work group to scope out what might be involved in creating a voluntary Western regional registry and what it might look like, and to develop a “roadmap” regarding how the states would get to that point if they choose to do so. The committee is to report back to the WRAP at its next meeting in September in Montana.
4. The TWG began its initial review of the Education Options Matrix prepared for the call. Sections 1-4 were reviewed, and several additions and edits were made. A suggestion was also made to consider whether it might be better to combine Sections 3 (Community Leaders) and 5 (Community Organizations) because they would seem to be closely related. The TWG also recommended that following a full review of the Matrix, priority items be identified to be forwarded to the CCAG.
5. The work of the “Contributing Issues” or “Ancillary Issues” effort, as requested by the CCAG at its January 11, 2006 meeting in Las Cruces was briefly noted. An initial work product is expected for the next CCAG meeting.

**Next steps and agreements:**

1. CCS will incorporate the TWG’s recommendations regarding Sections 1-4 into a revision of the *Education Options Matrix*, possibly combine Sections 3 and 5, and distribute the revised version to the TWG for its review and discussion on the next TWG call.
2. Those involved in the “Contributing Issues” or “Ancillary Issues” effort will continue to develop and flesh out a framework for a reminder checklist for use by the TWGs, targeting the development of an initial work product for the CCAG’s April 26, 2006 meeting in Farmington, NM.
3. **Next TWG Call:** Friday, May 12, 2006 from 10:00–11:300 a.m. Mountain Time.