



WWW.NMCLIMATECHANGE.US

**CROSS CUTTING ISSUES TWG CALL #7 SUMMARY
MAY 12, 2006 – 10:00-11:30 AM**

Attendance:

1. **TWG members:**
Nancy Norem for Jeff Burks, PNM
Mallery Downs, UNM Community Environmental Health Program
Joshua Epel, Duke Energy
Eva Thaddeus, Sierra Club
2. **NMED/EMNRD staff:**
Sandra Ely
Susie Marbury
3. **CCS staff:**
Ken Colburn (TWG Facilitator)
4. **Other attendees:**
Jim Behnken

Background documents:

(unless noted, all posted at www.nmclimatechange.us/documents.cfm, click on Cross-Cutting).

1. Notice and Agenda for Call #7
2. Summary for Call #6
3. PowerPoint presentation for Call #7
4. Education Options Matrix
5. Contributing Issues Matrix

Discussion items and key issues:

1. Ken Colburn opened the teleconference, conducted the roll call, and briefly reviewed the agenda for the call. The TWG again welcomed the participation and assistance of RCI TWG members interested in public education and outreach policies and measures.

2. The TWG agreed to the Call #6 Summary and one further modification to the *GHG Registry Design Options Matrix* (requested during the April 26, 2006 CCAG meeting in Farmington) without further change.
3. Ken Colburn and Sandra Ely briefed the TWG regarding developments concerning GHG registries. Colburn indicated that the Northeast regional registry effort had indeed expanded, and will now be known as the Eastern Climate Registry (ECR). (More information on ECR is available at <http://www.easternclimateregistry.org/>.) This effort is also reaching out to other states and working with other state-based registry programs with the goal of having common practices and compatibility. Ely emphasized this point, indicating that previous discussions about a possible national “Registry Alliance” have morphed into an affirmative effort called the Multi-State Registry Project. This project is apparently funded to hold several conference calls and a few face-to-face meetings toward this end over the course of the next year. New Mexico’s attention to reporting and registry issues now will help enable the state to be a leader in these discussions. Similarly, the WRAP decision to explore a “blueprint” for a possible voluntary Western regional registry has led to plans for a meeting in July, probably in Denver, on this topic.
4. The TWG began its second review of the *Education Options Matrix* as revised for the call. Several additions and edits were made. There was consensus that the *Matrix* columns for “Tasks & Examples” and “Notes & Elaborations” should be combined into one, and that a new “Implementation” column should be added. The TWG also suggested adding a sector-specific section to the *Matrix*, which would contain suggested actions specific to (and hopefully provided by) the other individual TWGs (i.e., RCI, TLU, ES, and AF). Input will be solicited from the other TWGs. Finally, following on its brief discussion about prioritizing items during Call #7, the TWG members on the call concluded that the each CC TWG member should be asked via email to indicate his or her five highest priority items on the *Matrix*.
5. The use of the *Contributing Issues Matrix* at the Farmington TWG meetings was discussed. The TWG thought that providing some guidance regarding its use would help develop the expectation that it will be employed by the TWGs in their consideration of individual policy options. Mallery Downs volunteered to provide a short initial draft of guidance for the CC TWG’s review.

Next steps and agreements:

1. CCS will incorporate the TWG’s recommended changes into a revision of the *Education Options Matrix* and distribute the revised version to the TWG for its review and discussion on the next TWG call. When it does so, CCS will also request that TWG members identify their five highest priority items on the *Matrix*.
2. CCS will also forward the revised draft of the *Matrix* to the other TWG leaders requesting their consideration of sector-specific outreach or education actions that their TWGs may want to add to the *Education Options Matrix*.

3. Mallery Downs will draft guidance for other TWGs' use of the Contributing Issues Matrix. The CC TWG will review this draft on its next call for forwarding to the other TWGs and CCAG members when it is ready.
4. **Next TWG Call:** Tuesday, June 6, 2006 from 3:00–4:30 p.m. Mountain Time.