



[WWW.NMCLIMATECHANGE.US](http://WWW.NMCLIMATECHANGE.US)

***DRAFT***  
**Energy Supply TWG Call #12 Summary**  
**July 20, 2006**

**Attendance:**

1. **TWG Members & Alternates:**  
Jeff Burks, PNM  
Josh Epel, Duke Energy Field Services  
Bruce Gantner, Burlington Resources  
Ben Luce, Coalition for Clean Affordable Energy  
Steve Michel, NM Industrial Energy Consumers  
Tom Singer, NRDC
2. **NMED/EMNRD staff:**  
Sandra Ely, NMED  
Craig O'Hare, EMNRD
3. **CCS staff:**  
Ken Colburn  
Eric Williams
4. **Other attendees:**  
James Behnken

**Background documents:**

*(unless noted, all posted at <http://www.nmclimatechange.us/documents.cfm>, click on Energy Supply)*

1. Notice and Agenda for Call #12
2. Summary for TWG Call #11
3. Powerpoint presentation for Call #12
4. Priority Policy Options with quantification to date

**Discussion items and key issues:**

1. Ken Colburn brought the call to order and called the roll. Ken apologized to the TWG members for the fact that the materials for the call were distributed late, providing the TWG with relatively little time to review the revised policy options and more

importantly, the initial quantification results for those options which had been quantified by the time of the call. Ken suggested that final approval of the Call #11 Summary be conducted on the next call (Call #13) in order to provide TWG members with additional time to review the document.

2. Ken turned the call over to Eric Williams to review his quantification of six of the 14 quantifiable policy options. Two options, ES-7 and ES-16, were considered nonquantifiable. TWG members pointed out that results were needed for 2012 rather than 2010. Eric had also provided results for 2030 – as far out chronologically as the modeling could go. This effort was appreciated by the TWG, but it was decided that it was of little direct use because the Governor’s Executive Order specified the years 2012, 2020, and 2050.
3. TWG members requested further elaboration and clarity of the renewables policies, particularly in terms of what happens with respect to individual renewable energy types over time in the NEMS modeling of these policy options. Eric indicated that he would try to break out some of this information from the model for greater clarity.
4. In reviewing the other options, the TWG provided additional guidance for modeling some of the more innovative policy options for which little precedent exists.
5. Regarding ES-14, cap and trade, TWG members cautioned that Adam Rose – who is conducting the regional modeling on this option, be reminded that NM has not deregulated its electric generation sector as many states have.

**Next steps:**

1. CCS will revise the analyses to reflect 2012 results and to drop the 2030 results as not germane to the Governor’s Executive Order.
2. CCS will conduct additional analysis on the ES options to flesh out additional sub-options as previously called for by the TWG, and to quantify options that have not yet been quantified.
3. CCS will provide TWG members with greater clarity regarding how the development of renewable energy technologies occurs over time in NEMS’ modeling of renewable policy options.